IPCO 2014

17th Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization

Location: Bonn, Germany Date: June 23–25, 2014 www.or.uni-bonn.de/ipco





Submission deadline: November 15, 2013 Program committee chair: Jon Lee Local organization: Stephan Held, Jens Vygen

Extras:

- summer school (before IPCO)
- welcome reception, Arithmeum
- poster session
- Rhine river cruise with dinner



Smallest two-edge-connected spanning subgraphs and the TSP

Jens Vygen

University of Bonn

(joint work with András Sebő)



August 1, 2013



Metric TSP

Given a complete graph *G* and metric weights $c : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, find a Hamiltonian circuit in *G* with minimum total weight.

- NP-hard
- best known approximation ratio ³/₂ (Christofides [1976])
- no 123/122-approximation algorithm exists unless P = NP (Karpinski, Lampis, Schmied [2013])
- integrality ratio of subtour relaxation between ⁴/₃ and ³/₂ (Wolsey [1980]), worst example is instance of Graph-TSP

Metric TSP

Given a complete graph *G* and metric weights $c : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, find a Hamiltonian circuit in *G* with minimum total weight.

- NP-hard
- best known approximation ratio ³/₂ (Christofides [1976])
- no ¹²³/₁₂₂-approximation algorithm exists unless P = NP (Karpinski, Lampis, Schmied [2013])
- integrality ratio of subtour relaxation between ⁴/₃ and ³/₂ (Wolsey [1980]), worst example is instance of Graph-TSP

Graph-TSP (= Eulerian 2ECSS):

- approximation ratio 1.5ϵ (Oveis Gharan, Saberi, Singh [2011])
- approximation ratio 1.461 (Mömke, Svensson [2011])
- approximation ratio 1.445 (Mucha [2012])
- approximation ratio 1.4 (Sebő, Vygen [2012])

The unfortunate history of 2ECSS approximation

| Khuller, Vishkin [1992] | 32 |
|---|-------------------|
| Garg, Santosh, Singla [1993] | <u>5</u> 4 |
| | |
| Cheriyan, Sebő, Szigeti [1999/2001] | <u>17</u> 12 |
| Vempala, Vetta [2000] | <u>4</u> 3 |
| Krysta, Kumar [2001] | <u>597</u> 448 |
| Jothi, Raghavachari, Varadarajan [2004] | 54 |
| | |
| Sebő, Vygen [2012] | 4 3 |

The unfortunate history of 2ECSS approximation

| Khuller, Vishkin [1992] | 32 |
|---|-------------------|
| Garg, Santosh, Singla [1993] | <u>5</u> 4 |
| | |
| Cheriyan, Sebő, Szigeti [1999/2001] | <u>17</u> 12 |
| Vempala, Vetta [2000] | 43 |
| Krysta, Kumar [2001] | <u>597</u> 448 |
| Jothi, Raghavachari, Varadarajan [2004] | <u>5</u> 4 |
| | |
| Sebő, Vygen [2012] | <u>4</u> 3 |

correct proof wrong proof incomplete proof no proof

The unfortunate history of 2ECSS approximation

<u>3</u> 2 <u>17</u> 12 $\frac{4}{3}$ $\frac{4}{3}$ now $\frac{597}{448}$ <u>5</u> 4 <u>5</u> 4 Jothi, Raghavachari, Varadarajan [2004] Cheriyan, Sebő, Szigeti [1999/2001] Garg, Santosh, Singla [1993] Khuller, Vishkin [1992] Vempala, Vetta [2000] Krysta, Kumar [2001] Sebő, Vygen [2012]

correct proof wrong proof incomplete proof no proof

- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



Write $G = P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_k$, where P_0 is a single vertex, and each P_i (i = 1, ..., k) is either

- ▶ a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



 A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.

Write $G = P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_k$, where P_0 is a single vertex, and each P_i (i = 1, ..., k) is either

- ▶ a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



 A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.

- ▶ a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.
- A graph is 2-vertex-connected iff it has an open ear-decomposition.
 (P₂, ..., P_k are all open ears = paths.)

- a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.
- A graph is 2-vertex-connected iff it has an open ear-decomposition.
- A nontrivial ear is called pendant if none of its internal vertices is endpoint of another nontrivial ear.

- ▶ a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.
- A graph is 2-vertex-connected iff it has an open ear-decomposition.
- A nontrivial ear is called pendant if none of its internal vertices is endpoint of another nontrivial ear.
- W.I.o.g., pendant ears come last, followed only by trivial ears.

- ▶ a circuit sharing exactly one vertex with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$, or
- a path sharing exactly its endpoints with $P_0 + \cdots + P_{i-1}$.



- A graph is 2-edge-connected iff it has an ear-decomposition.
- A graph is 2-vertex-connected iff it has an open ear-decomposition.
- A nontrivial ear is called pendant if none of its internal vertices is endpoint of another nontrivial ear.
- W.I.o.g., pendant ears come last, followed only by trivial ears.





- Ear induction:
- Split pendant ear at the vertices that have wrong parity so far



- Ear induction:
- Split pendant ear at the vertices that have wrong parity so far
- Take smaller part



This yields a *T*-join with at most $\frac{1}{2}(n-1+k_{even})$ edges, where n = |V(G)| and k_{even} is the number of even ears.

Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.



Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.

The remaining number of edges is at most

$$\frac{5}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3 + \frac{1}{4}k_4$$



where n = |V(G)| and k_i is the number of ears of length i.

Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.

The remaining number of edges is at most

$$\frac{5}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3 + \frac{1}{4}k_4,$$



where n = |V(G)| and k_i is the number of ears of length i.

So:

- even ears are bad, and
- 3-ears are bad.

Ear-decompositions with fewest even ears

For a 2-edge-connected graph *G*, let $\varphi(G)$ denote the minimum number of even ears in an ear-decomposition of *G*.

Theorem (Frank [1993])

Let G be a 2-edge-connected graph. Then an ear-decomposition with $\varphi(G)$ even ears can be computed in polynomial time,

Ear-decompositions with fewest even ears

For a 2-edge-connected graph *G*, let $\varphi(G)$ denote the minimum number of even ears in an ear-decomposition of *G*.

Theorem (Frank [1993])

Let G be a 2-edge-connected graph. Then an ear-decomposition with $\varphi(G)$ even ears can be computed in polynomial time, and

$$\frac{|V(G)|-1+\varphi(G)}{2} = \max\Bigl\{\min\{|J|: J \text{ is a } T\text{-join}\}: T \subseteq V(G), \, |T| \text{ even}\Bigr\}.$$

Note:

- Every 2ECSS contains at least $\varphi(G)$ even (thus: nontrivial) ears.
- So every 2ECSS contains at least $n 1 + \varphi(G)$ edges.

Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.

The remaining number of edges is at most

$$\frac{5}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3 + \frac{1}{4}k_4$$



Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.

The remaining number of edges is at most

$$\frac{5}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3 + \frac{1}{4}k_4$$

$$\leq \quad \frac{5}{4}(n-1+k_{\text{even}}) + \frac{1}{2}k_3$$

$$= \quad \frac{5}{4}(n-1+\varphi(G)) + \frac{1}{2}k_3$$



Simple algorithm for 2ECSS:

- compute an ear-decomposition
- delete all trivial ears.

The remaining number of edges is at most

$$\frac{5}{4}(n-1) + \frac{3}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3 + \frac{1}{4}k_4$$

$$\leq \frac{5}{4}(n-1+k_{\text{even}}) + \frac{1}{2}k_3$$

$$= \frac{5}{4}(n-1+\varphi(G)) + \frac{1}{2}k_3$$



Henceforth (for this talk only) assume $\varphi(G) = 0$. In other words, *G* is factor-critical (Lovász [1972]).

Note: 3-ears are still bad.

Nice ear-decompositions

An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting internal vertices of different short ears.



Nice ear-decompositions

An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting internal vertices of different short ears.

Lemma (Cheriyan, Sebő, Szigeti [2001])

A nice ear-decomposition can be computed in polynomial time.



Nice ear-decompositions

An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting internal vertices of different short ears.

Lemma (Cheriyan, Sebő, Szigeti [2001])

A nice ear-decomposition can be computed in polynomial time.

Sketch of Proof (for $\varphi(G) = 0$):

- Compute an open odd ear-decomp. (Lovász, Plummer [1986])
- Replace non-pendant short ears
- Replace adjacent short ears



Sketch of proof (some details)

Replace non-pendant short ears



Sketch of proof (some details)

Replace non-pendant short ears



Replace adjacent short ears



 Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components



Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting

 Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components



Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting

- Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components
- Internal vertices of short ears may be incident to trivial ears



Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting

- Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components
- Internal vertices of short ears may be incident to trivial ears
- These can be used to replace some short ears by other short ears



Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting

- Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components
- Internal vertices of short ears may be incident to trivial ears
- These can be used to replace some short ears by other short ears
- Goal: minimize the resulting number of connected components



Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting

- Adding all short ears leaves some number of connected components
- Internal vertices of short ears may be incident to trivial ears
- These can be used to replace some short ears by other short ears
- Goal: minimize the resulting number of connected components



Note: Replacing some short ears by other ears (with the same internal vertices) will maintain a nice ear-decomposition.

Recall: An ear-decomposition is called nice if

- (i) the number of even ears is minimum,
- (ii) all short ears (length 2 or 3) are pendant,
- (iii) and there are no edges connecting internal vertices of different short ears.

First solution: matroid intersection



- For each pendant ear (= color), represent each possible variant by an edge connecting its two endpoints
- Pick an edge for each color, so that the edges form a forest
- Intersection of partition matroid and graphic matroid (Rado [1942], Edmonds [1970])

Second solution: forest representative systems



- For each pendant ear (= color), consider the set of endpoints of the variants. In this hypergraph:
- Find a forest representative system (Lovász [1970])
- This leads to useful ears
- We have an algorithm with runtime O(|V(G)||E(G)|)

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.

Note: number of even ears is minimum, all short ears are pendant

- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.

Note: number of even ears is minimum, all short ears are pendant

- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Theorem

The new algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.

Note: number of even ears is minimum, all short ears are pendant

- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Theorem

The new algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).



- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.

Note: number of even ears is minimum, all short ears are pendant

- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Theorem

The new algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

$$L + \pi_{\text{long}}$$

} $\frac{1}{2}(n-1-2\pi_{\text{short}}-4\pi_{\text{long}})$

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.

Note: number of even ears is minimum, all short ears are pendant

- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Alternatively:

 Take all edges of nontrivial ears.

Theorem

The new algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

Alternative yields an 2ECSS with at most $\frac{5}{4}L + \frac{1}{2}\pi$ edges.

 \rightarrow The better of the two 2ECSSs has at most $\frac{4}{3}L$ edges.

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.
- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.
- Delete all 1-ears. In each of the resulting blocks:
- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.
- Delete all 1-ears. In each of the resulting blocks:
- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Theorem

In each block, this algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.
- Delete all 1-ears. In each of the resulting blocks:
- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Theorem

In each block, this algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

Alternatively:

 Apply lemma of Mömke-Svensson.

- Compute a nice ear-decomposition.
- Optimize short ears so that they serve best for connectivity.
- Delete all 1-ears. In each of the resulting blocks:
- Take all edges of pendant ears.
- Add edges to obtain connectivity.
- Add edges to correct parity.

Alternatively:

 Apply lemma of Mömke-Svensson.

Theorem

In each block, this algorithm yields a tour with at most $\frac{3}{2}L - \pi$ edges, where L is a lower bound on the number of edges in any 2ECSS, and π is the number of pendant ears (after optimization).

Theorem

Mömke-Svensson yields a tour with at most $\frac{4}{3}L + \frac{2}{3}\pi$ *edges.*

 \rightarrow The better of the two tours has at most $\frac{7}{5}L$ edges.

Open problems

2ECSS

- improve approximation ratio (combining with ideas from Vempala, Vetta [2000]?)
- improve on 2-approximation for weighted 2ECSS (due to Khuller, Vishkin [1994])
- determine integrality ratio of the natural LP relaxation

TSP

- improve approximation ratio, determine integrality ratio
- extend to general metric TSP (beat Christofides [1976])
- extend to directed graphs (constant factor?)

T-tours \supseteq *s*-*t*-path-TSP

• find $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for the weighted case

Open problems

2ECSS

- improve approximation ratio (combining with ideas from Vempala, Vetta [2000]?)
- improve on 2-approximation for weighted 2ECSS (due to Khuller, Vishkin [1994])
- determine integrality ratio of the natural LP relaxation

TSP

- improve approximation ratio, determine integrality ratio
- extend to general metric TSP (beat Christofides [1976])
- extend to directed graphs (constant factor?)

T-tours \supseteq *s*-*t*-path-TSP

• find $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for the weighted case

Thank you!

Open problems

2ECSS

- improve approximation ratio (combining with ideas from Vempala, Vetta [2000]?)
- improve on 2-approximation for weighted 2ECSS (due to Khuller, Vishkin [1994])
- determine integrality ratio of the natural LP relaxation

TSP

- improve approximation ratio, determine integrality ratio
- extend to general metric TSP (beat Christofides [1976])
- extend to directed graphs (constant factor?)

T-tours \supseteq *s*-*t*-path-TSP

• find $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm for the weighted case

Thank you!

Tight example for 2ECSS



$$L = n = OPT = 24k$$

$$\varphi(G) = 1$$

$$\pi = 4k = \frac{1}{6}L.$$

(Here k = 2.)

Algorithm computes solution with 32k - 1 edges.