10

5

δ

60 years of stiff solvers

#### John Butcher, University of Auckland

## SciCADE 2011, Toronto

# Jan Verwer Memorial Minisyposium 13 July 2011

15

20

25

# Contents

#### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- Learning through definitions
- Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems.
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?

#### Stability questions

- A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
- Nonlinear stability
- Symplectic condition
- Implementation issues

5

• Solving the non-linear stage equations

15

20

25

30

10

• Adding transformation

# Contents

#### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
  - 4 Stability question:
    - $\circ$  A-stability,  $\mathbf{A}(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
    - Nonlinear stability
    - Symplectic condition
  - Implementation issues

5

• Solving the non-linear stage equations

15

20

25

30

10

• Adding transformation

# Contents

### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
  - Nonlinear stability
  - Symplectic condition
  - Implementation issues

5

• Solving the non-linear stage equations

15

20

25

30

10

• Adding transformation

# Contents

### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- 4 Stability questions

5

- $\bullet$  A-stability,  $\mathbf{A}(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
- Nonlinear stability
- Symplectic condition

• Solving the non-linear stage equations

15

20

25

30

# Contents

ò

### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- 4 Stability questions
  - $\bullet$  A-stability,  $\mathbf{A}(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
  - Nonlinear stability
  - Symplectic condition
- **5** Implementation issues
  - Solving the non-linear stage equations

10

15

20

25

30

• Adding transformations

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## INTRODUCTION

1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- **O** Stability questions
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability

15

- Nonlinear stability
- Symplectic condition

10

**5** Implementation issues

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

10

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## 60 years of stiffness

The seminal paper of Curtiss and Hirschfelder was communicated to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in 1951 and published in the following year.

The use of the backward Euler method, which can be regarded as the first stiff solver, was advocated as a stable alternative to the forward Euler method.

Techniques for obtaining stable, accurate and efficient solutions to stiff problems have become an important field of research in many institutions and laboratories throughout the world, not least being in the work of Jan Verwer and his colleagues at the CWI.

This talk will not attempt to survey the enormous history of this subject but will give an account of some items from this history of interest to the author.

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

10

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## 60 years of stiffness

The seminal paper of Curtiss and Hirschfelder was communicated to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in 1951 and published in the following year. The use of the backward Euler method, which can be regarded as the first stiff solver, was advocated as a stable alternative to the forward Euler method.

Techniques for obtaining stable, accurate and efficient solutions to stiff problems have become an important field of research in many institutions and laboratories throughout the world, not least being in the work of Jan Verwer and his colleagues at the CWI.

This talk will not attempt to survey the enormous history of this subject but will give an account of some items from this history of interest to the author.

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

10

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

## 60 years of stiffness

The seminal paper of Curtiss and Hirschfelder was communicated to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in 1951 and published in the following year. The use of the backward Euler method, which can be regarded as the first stiff solver, was advocated as a stable alternative to

as the first stiff solver, was advocated as a stable alternative to the forward Euler method.

Techniques for obtaining stable, accurate and efficient solutions to stiff problems have become an important field of research in many institutions and laboratories throughout the world, not least being in the work of Jan Verwer and his colleagues at the CWI.

This talk will not attempt to survey the enormous history of this subject but will give an account of some items from this history of interest to the author.

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

10

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

## 60 years of stiffness

The seminal paper of Curtiss and Hirschfelder was communicated to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in 1951 and published in the following year. The use of the backward Fuler method, which can be regarded

The use of the backward Euler method, which can be regarded as the first stiff solver, was advocated as a stable alternative to the forward Euler method.

Techniques for obtaining stable, accurate and efficient solutions to stiff problems have become an important field of research in many institutions and laboratories throughout the world, not least being in the work of Jan Verwer and his colleagues at the CWI.

This talk will not attempt to survey the enormous history of this subject but will give an account of some items from this history of interest to the author.

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems

Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## Questions about stiffness

#### What are stiff problems?

I will try to give some sort of answer to this perennial question

5

10

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## Questions about stiffness

#### What are stiff problems?

I will try to give some sort of answer to this perennial question

#### What methods are useful?

Are multivalue methods useful? Are multistage methods useful? Are multivalue-multistage methods useful?

#### Stability questions

5

A-stability G-stability L-stability XYZ-stabilit

#### Implementation questions

10

Overcoming the disadvantages of expensive Newton iterations?

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## Questions about stiffness

What are stiff problems?

I will try to give some sort of answer to this perennial question

#### What methods are useful?

Are multivalue methods useful? Are multistage methods useful?

Are multivalue-multistage methods useful?

#### Stability questions

A-stability G-stability L-stability XYZ-stability

#### Implementation questions

5

10

Overcoming the disadvantages of expensive Newton iterations?

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems Stability questions Implementation issues

60 years of stiffness Questions about stiffness

20

25

30

## Questions about stiffness

#### What are stiff problems?

I will try to give some sort of answer to this perennial question

#### What methods are useful?

Are multivalue methods useful?

Are multistage methods useful?

10

Are multivalue-multistage methods useful?

#### Stability questions

A-stability G-stability L-stability XYZ-stability

#### Implementation questions

Overcoming the disadvantages of expensive Newton iterations?

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

20

25

30

## WHAT ARE STIFF PROBLEMS?

### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- **O** Stability questions
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability

15

- Nonlinear stability
- Symplectic condition

10

**5** Implementation issues

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

# Learning through definitions

Some mathematical ideas are best understood in terms of formal definitions.

Possible applications and intuitive understanding of meanings then become somewhat secondary.

But for other mathematical ideas, the intuitive notion, perhaps based on observable phenomena, is the starting point and formal definitions come later, if they come at all.

I think that stiffness is like this.

10

- 5

We want to understand this phenomenon and think about how to deal with it.

Definitions can give an insight into some aspects of what stiff problems are but they don't necessarily express every aspect in a comprehensive way.

15

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

20

25

30

# Learning through definitions

Some mathematical ideas are best understood in terms of formal definitions.

Possible applications and intuitive understanding of meanings then become somewhat secondary.

But for other mathematical ideas, the intuitive notion, perhaps based on observable phenomena, is the starting point and formal definitions come later, if they come at all.

I think that stiffness is like this.

10

5

We want to understand this phenomenon and think about how to deal with it.

Definitions can give an insight into some aspects of what stiff problems are but they don't necessarily express every aspect in a comprehensive way.

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

20

25

30

# Learning through definitions

10

5

Some mathematical ideas are best understood in terms of formal definitions.

Possible applications and intuitive understanding of meanings then become somewhat secondary.

But for other mathematical ideas, the intuitive notion, perhaps based on observable phenomena, is the starting point and formal definitions come later, if they come at all. I think that stiffness is like this.

We want to understand this phenomenon and think about how to deal with it.

Definitions can give an insight into some aspects of what stiff problems are but they don't necessarily express every aspect in a comprehensive way.

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

# Learning through definitions

Some mathematical ideas are best understood in terms of formal definitions.

Possible applications and intuitive understanding of meanings then become somewhat secondary.

But for other mathematical ideas, the intuitive notion, perhaps based on observable phenomena, is the starting point and formal definitions come later, if they come at all.

I think that stiffness is like this.

10

We want to understand this phenomenon and think about how to deal with it.

Definitions can give an insight into some aspects of what stiff problems are but they don't necessarily express every aspect in a comprehensive way.

15

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

# Learning through definitions

Some mathematical ideas are best understood in terms of formal definitions.

Possible applications and intuitive understanding of meanings then become somewhat secondary.

But for other mathematical ideas, the intuitive notion, perhaps based on observable phenomena, is the starting point and formal definitions come later, if they come at all.

I think that stiffness is like this.

10

We want to understand this phenomenon and think about how to deal with it.

Definitions can give an insight into some aspects of what stiff problems are but they don't necessarily express every aspect in a comprehensive way.

15

20

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

- (a) y' = y, y(0) = 1, (b) y' = 100y, y(0) = 1,
- (c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,  $(f) y' = -100y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \end{array}$ 

15

10

ò.

 $(j) \ y' = f(x,y)$ 

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,  $(f) y' = -100y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \\ \end{array}$ 

15

10

ò.

 $(j) \ y' = f(x,y)$ 

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,  $(f) y' = -100y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \\ \end{array}$ 

15

10

 $(j) \quad y' = f(x,y)$ 

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,  $(f) y' = -100y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \\ \end{array}$ 

15

10

 $(j) \quad y' = f(x,y)$ 

20

25

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,  $(f) y' = -100y + \sin(x)$ , y(0) = 1,

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \end{array}$ 

10

15

 $(j) \quad y' = f(x,y)$ 

20

25

What are stiff problems? What methods are useful for stiff problems

Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1.

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1, \ (f) \ y' = -100y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1.$ 

10

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) y' = -y, y(0) = 1, (d) y' = -100y, y(0) = 1,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1, (f) y' = -100y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1,$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} (g) \ y' = My + \phi(x), \\ \text{Large negative } \lambda \in \sigma(M) \end{array} \qquad (h) \ y' = M(x)y + \phi(x), \end{array}$ 



Learning through definitions Learning through examples

20

25

30

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) 
$$y' = -y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (d)  $y' = -100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1,$  (f)  $y' = -100y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1,$ 

(g)  $y' = My + \phi(x)$ , (h)  $y' = M(x)y + \phi(x)$ . Large negative  $\lambda \in \sigma(M)$ 

15

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

20

25

30

## Learning through examples

δ

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) 
$$y' = -y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (d)  $y' = -100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1, \ (f) \ y' = -100y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1,$ 

(g)  $y' = My + \phi(x),$  (h)  $y' = M(x)y + \phi(x),$ Large negative  $\lambda \in \sigma(M)$ 

15

Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) 
$$y' = -y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (d)  $y' = -100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(e)  $y' = -y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1,$  (f)  $y' = -100y + \sin(x), y(0) = 1,$ 

(g)  $y' = My + \phi(x),$  (h)  $y' = M(x)y + \phi(x),$ Large negative  $\lambda \in \sigma(M)$ 



Learning through definitions Learning through examples

## Learning through examples

Ò.

Let's look at some initial value problems and see which are stiff

(a) 
$$y' = y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (b)  $y' = 100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(c) 
$$y' = -y$$
,  $y(0) = 1$ , (d)  $y' = -100y$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ ,

(e) 
$$y' = -y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1, \ (f) \ y' = -100y + \sin(x), \ y(0) = 1,$$

(g)  $y' = My + \phi(x),$  (h)  $y' = M(x)y + \phi(x),$ Large negative  $\lambda \in \sigma(M)$ 

(i) y' = f(y),  $z' = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial y})z,$ (j) y' = f(x,y) $z_0 = \frac{10}{25} + \frac{10}{25}$ 

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

## WHAT METHODS ARE USEFUL FOR STIFF PROBLEMS?

- 1 Introduction
  - 60 years of stiffness
  - Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- Istability questions
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability

15

20

25

30

- Nonlinear stability
- Symplectic condition

10

**5** Implementation issues

ò.

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

#### The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises.

Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order

10

- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

20

25

The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

## • High order

• High stage order

10

- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

20

25

The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order

10

- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

20

25
The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order

10

- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

20

25

The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order
- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

20

25

The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order
- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

10

20

25

The design of stiff solvers is a search for compromises. Some of the things we want, if we can get them, are

- High order
- High stage order
- Good stability
- Low cost

ò.

• Adaptability

We will talk about which of these features are readily available for known method classes.

15

10

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?

A good starting point is explicit methods with a long interval of stability, at the expense of high order.

For a fixed p it seems to be possible to construct methods with a stability interval

$$[-a, 0]$$

where a grows something like  $s^2$ .

10

The usefulness of these methods is restricted to problems for which the eigenvalues are clustered around the real axis. The next point to explore is methods that are implicit in the most simple way possible.

These have been variously called semi-implicit, semi-explicit, diagonally-implicit and singly-diagonally-implicit.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?

A good starting point is explicit methods with a long interval of stability, at the expense of high order.

For a fixed p it seems to be possible to construct methods with a stability interval

)|

$$[-a, 0]$$

where a grows something like  $s^2$ .

ò.

The usefulness of these methods is restricted to problems for which the eigenvalues are clustered around the real axis. The next point to explore is methods that are implicit in the most simple way possible.

These have been variously called semi-implicit, semi-explicit, diagonally-implicit and singly-diagonally-implicit.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?

A good starting point is explicit methods with a long interval of stability, at the expense of high order.

For a fixed p it seems to be possible to construct methods with a stability interval

$$[-a, 0]$$

where a grows something like  $s^2$ .

The usefulness of these methods is restricted to problems for which the eigenvalues are clustered around the real axis.

The next point to explore is methods that are implicit in the most simple way possible.

These have been variously called semi-implicit, semi-explicit, diagonally-implicit and singly-diagonally-implicit.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?

A good starting point is explicit methods with a long interval of stability, at the expense of high order.

For a fixed p it seems to be possible to construct methods with a stability interval

$$[-a, 0]$$

where a grows something like  $s^2$ .

The usefulness of these methods is restricted to problems for which the eigenvalues are clustered around the real axis. The next point to explore is methods that are implicit in the most simple way possible.

These have been variously called semi-implicit, semi-explicit, diagonally-implicit and singly-diagonally-implicit.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?

A good starting point is explicit methods with a long interval of stability, at the expense of high order.

For a fixed p it seems to be possible to construct methods with a stability interval

$$[-a, 0]$$

where a grows something like  $s^2$ .

The usefulness of these methods is restricted to problems for which the eigenvalues are clustered around the real axis. The next point to explore is methods that are implicit in the most simple way possible.

These have been variously called semi-implicit, semi-explicit, diagonally-implicit and singly-diagonally-implicit.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

#### The tableau for a semi-implicit method looks like this

$\gamma$	$\gamma$	0	0	• • •	0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0	• • •	0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$	• • •	0
:	:	÷	÷		÷
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	$a_{s3}$	• • •	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	•••	$b_s$

Notice that

$$\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$$

and this is where the "singly (S)" in "SDIRK" comes from. Semi-implicit methods have a limited role in stiff integration because, for many problems they suffer from "order-reduction" because the stage-order is never more than 2.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

The tableau for a semi-implicit method looks like this

$\gamma$	$\gamma$	0	0	• • •	0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0	• • •	0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$	• • •	0
:	÷	÷	÷		÷
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	$a_{s3}$	•••	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	•••	$b_s$

Notice that

ò

$$\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$$

and this is where the "singly (S)" in "SDIRK" comes from. Semi-implicit methods have a limited role in stiff integration because, for many problems they suffer from "order-reduction because the stage-order is never more than 2.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

The tableau for a semi-implicit method looks like this

$\gamma$	$\gamma$	0	0	• • •	0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0	• • •	0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$	• • •	0
:	÷	÷	÷		÷
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	$a_{s3}$	•••	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	•••	$b_s$

Notice that

$$\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$$

and this is where the "singly (S)" in "SDIRK" comes from. Semi-implicit methods have a limited role in stiff integration because, for many problems they suffer from "order-reduction" because the stage-order is never more than 2.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

## What about "singly-implicit methods" characterised only by the one-point spectrum property?

Because A can now be a full matrix, the methods might seem to have no particular advantage but we will see that they can be implemented for a similar cost to semi-implicit methods. Furthermore, it is possible to have stage order s and this makes them potentially quite useful for solving stiff problems. We will see how this can be done by choosing the abscissa vector c as follows:

$$c_i = \gamma \xi_i, \qquad L_s(\xi_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

15

20

25

30

where  $L_s$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree s.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

What about "singly-implicit methods" characterised only by the one-point spectrum property? Because A can now be a full matrix, the methods might seem to have no particular advantage but we will see that they can be implemented for a similar cost to semi-implicit methods. Furthermore, it is possible to have stage order s and this makes them potentially quite useful for solving stiff problems. We will see how this can be done by choosing the abscissa vector c as follows:

 $c_i = \gamma \xi_i, \qquad L_s(\xi_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$ 

15

20

25

30

where  $L_s$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree s.

What about "singly-implicit methods" characterised only by the one-point spectrum property?

Because A can now be a full matrix, the methods might seem to have no particular advantage but we will see that they can be implemented for a similar cost to semi-implicit methods.

Furthermore, it is possible to have stage order s and this makes them potentially quite useful for solving stiff problems. We will see how this can be done by choosing the abscissa vector c as follows:

$$c_i = \gamma \xi_i, \qquad L_s(\xi_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

15

20

25

30

where  $L_s$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree s.

What about "singly-implicit methods" characterised only by the one-point spectrum property?

Because A can now be a full matrix, the methods might seem to have no particular advantage but we will see that they can be implemented for a similar cost to semi-implicit methods. Furthermore, it is possible to have stage order s and this makes them potentially quite useful for solving stiff problems.

We will see how this can be done by choosing the abscissa vector c as follows:

 $c_i = \gamma \xi_i, \qquad L_s(\xi_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$ 

15

20

25

30

where  $L_s$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree s.

What about "singly-implicit methods" characterised only by the one-point spectrum property?

Because A can now be a full matrix, the methods might seem to have no particular advantage but we will see that they can be implemented for a similar cost to semi-implicit methods. Furthermore, it is possible to have stage order s and this makes them potentially quite useful for solving stiff problems. We will see how this can be done by choosing the abscissa vector c as follows:

$$c_i = \gamma \xi_i, \qquad L_s(\xi_i) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

15

20

25

30

where  $L_s$  is the Laguerre polynomial of degree s.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

If  $\mathbf{1}, c, c^2, \ldots, c^s$  are the component-wise powers of c, then the stage order conditions are

$$Ac^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}c^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Substitute  $c = \gamma \xi$  and we have

$$A\xi^{k-1} = \gamma \frac{1}{k}\xi^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

or, taking linear combinations,

$$A\varphi(\xi) = \gamma \int_0^{\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \qquad \deg(\varphi) \le s - 1.$$

For example, if we use the Laguerre polynomials,

$$AL_{k-1}(\xi) = L_{k-1}(\xi) - L_k(\xi), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

15

20

25

30

If k = s, the last term is missing, because  $L_k(\xi_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ .

10

ò.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

If  $\mathbf{1}, c, c^2, \ldots, c^s$  are the component-wise powers of c, then the stage order conditions are

$$Ac^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}c^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Substitute  $c = \gamma \xi$  and we have

$$A\xi^{k-1} = \gamma \frac{1}{k}\xi^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

or, taking linear combinations,

ò.

$$A\varphi(\xi) = \gamma \int_0^{\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \qquad \deg(\varphi) \le s - 1.$$

For example, if we use the Laguerre polynomials,

$$AL_{k-1}(\xi) = L_{k-1}(\xi) - L_k(\xi), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

15

20

25

30

If k = s, the last term is missing, because  $L_k(\xi_i) = 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., s.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

If  $\mathbf{1}, c, c^2, \ldots, c^s$  are the component-wise powers of c, then the stage order conditions are

$$Ac^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}c^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Substitute  $c = \gamma \xi$  and we have

$$A\xi^{k-1} = \gamma \frac{1}{k}\xi^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

or, taking linear combinations,

ò.

$$A\varphi(\xi) = \gamma \int_0^{\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \qquad \deg(\varphi) \le s - 1.$$

For example, if we use the Laguerre polynomials,

$$AL_{k-1}(\xi) = L_{k-1}(\xi) - L_k(\xi), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

15

20

25

30

If k = s, the last term is missing, because  $L_k(\xi_i) = 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., s.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

If  $\mathbf{1}, c, c^2, \ldots, c^s$  are the component-wise powers of c, then the stage order conditions are

$$Ac^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}c^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Substitute  $c = \gamma \xi$  and we have

$$A\xi^{k-1} = \gamma \frac{1}{k}\xi^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

or, taking linear combinations,

ò.

$$A\varphi(\xi) = \gamma \int_0^{\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \qquad \deg(\varphi) \le s - 1.$$

For example, if we use the Laguerre polynomials,

$$AL_{k-1}(\xi) = L_{k-1}(\xi) - L_k(\xi), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

20

25

30

If k = s, the last term is missing, because  $L_k(\xi_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ .

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

If  $\mathbf{1}, c, c^2, \ldots, c^s$  are the component-wise powers of c, then the stage order conditions are

$$Ac^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}c^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Substitute  $c = \gamma \xi$  and we have

$$A\xi^{k-1} = \gamma \frac{1}{k}\xi^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

or, taking linear combinations,

ò.

$$A\varphi(\xi) = \gamma \int_0^{\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \qquad \deg(\varphi) \le s - 1.$$

For example, if we use the Laguerre polynomials,

$$AL_{k-1}(\xi) = L_{k-1}(\xi) - L_k(\xi), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

15

20

25

30

If k = s, the last term is missing, because  $L_k(\xi_i) = 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., s.

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

### Define M by

ò.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} L_0(\xi) & L_1(\xi) & \cdots & L_{s-1}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$

then A can be written as

10



It is possible to incorporate the similarity transformation M into the implementation scheme to lower the cost for large problems.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Define M by

ò.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} L_0(\xi) & L_1(\xi) & \cdots & L_{s-1}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$

then A can be written as

$$A = M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} M^{-1}.$$

It is possible to incorporate the similarity transformation M into the implementation scheme to lower the cost for large problems.

15

20

25

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Define M by

ò.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} L_0(\xi) & L_1(\xi) & \cdots & L_{s-1}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}$$

then A can be written as

$$A = M \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} M^{-1}.$$

It is possible to incorporate the similarity transformation M into the implementation scheme to lower the cost for large problems.

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

20

25

30

Are linear multistep methods useful?

### Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

Ò.

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Are linear multistep methods useful?

## Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

How about the following corollary?

#### Assertion

ò

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not useful

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Are linear multistep methods useful?

### Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

How about the following corollary?

#### Assertion

ò

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not useful

20

25

30

Nothing could be further from the truth!

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Are linear multistep methods useful?

## Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

How about the following corollary?

ò.

Assertion	
A linear multister with a	order greate. then 2 is not useful

20

25

30

Nothing could be further from the truth!

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Are linear multistep methods useful?

## Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

## How about the following corollary?

ò

Assertion	
A linear multister with	order greater 1 m 2 is not useful

20

25

30

Nothing could be further from the truth! Linear multistep methods (BDF methods) are used every moment of every day to solve stiff problems.

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

Are linear multistep methods useful?

## Theorem (Dahlquist Second Barrier)

A linear multistep method with order greater than 2 is not A-stable

## How about the following corollary?



Nothing could be further from the truth! Linear multistep methods (BDF methods) are used every moment of every day to solve stiff problems. What they lack in terms of A-stability and accuracy, they make up for in terms of speed and efficiency.

20

25

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

## Are general linear methods useful?

I believe they will emerge as very useful methods, once further work has been done on their implementation.

From a large choice of possible methods, I am selecting the following method with order 3.

The coefficients are presented as a partitioned tableau

 $\left[ egin{array}{cc} A & U \ B & V \end{array} 
ight].$ 

This means that, with input vector  $y^{[0]}$ , the stages Y, the stage derivatives F, and the output vector  $y^{[1]}$ , are given by

$$Y = hAF + Uy^{[0]},$$
  
 $y^{[1]} = hBF + Vy^{[0]}.$ 

15

20

25

ò.

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

## Are general linear methods useful?

I believe they will emerge as very useful methods, once further work has been done on their implementation. From a large choice of possible methods, I am selecting the following method with order 3.

The coefficients are presented as a partitioned tableau

 $\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & U\\B & V\end{array}\right]$ 

This means that, with input vector  $y^{[0]}$ , the stages Y, the stage derivatives F, and the output vector  $y^{[1]}$ , are given by

 $Y = hAF + Uy^{[0]},$  $y^{[1]} = hBF + Vy^{[0]}.$ 

15

20

25

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

# Are general linear methods useful?

I believe they will emerge as very useful methods, once further work has been done on their implementation. From a large choice of possible methods, I am selecting the following method with order 3.

The coefficients are presented as a partitioned tableau

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & U \\ B & V \end{array}\right].$$

This means that, with input vector  $y^{[0]}$ , the stages Y, the stage derivatives F, and the output vector  $y^{[1]}$ , are given by

$$Y = hAF + Uy^{[0]},$$
$$y^{[1]} = hBF + Vy^{[0]}.$$

20

25

Are Runge–Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

## Are general linear methods useful?

I believe they will emerge as very useful methods, once further work has been done on their implementation. From a large choice of possible methods, I am selecting the following method with order 3.

The coefficients are presented as a partitioned tableau

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & U \\ B & V \end{array}\right].$$

This means that, with input vector  $y^{[0]}$ , the stages Y, the stage derivatives F, and the output vector  $y^{[1]}$ , are given by

$$Y = hAF + Uy^{[0]},$$
  
 $y^{[1]} = hBF + Vy^{[0]}.$ 

20

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$
	$\frac{11}{2124}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{521}$	$-\frac{11}{8406}$	$-\frac{719}{67068}$
	$\frac{2124}{117761}$	$-\frac{189}{189}$	1	0	1	$-\frac{130}{130}$	$\frac{183437}{183437}$	<u>283675</u>
	$23364 \\ 312449$	$44 \\ 4525$	4 1	1	1	$531 \\ 650$	$186912 \\ 121459$	$747648 \\ 130127$
$\begin{vmatrix} A & U \end{vmatrix}_{-}$	23364	-396	36	4	1	$-\frac{531}{531}$	46728	124608
$\begin{vmatrix} B & V \end{vmatrix}^{-}$	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0

 $\rightarrow$  Stage order 3

5

δ

 $\rightarrow$  Stability function  $w^4 (1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3 (1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

c.f. 
$$R(z) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^2}{(1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4}$$

10

Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

Cheap error estimation and neighbouring method estimation

20

25
δ

5

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$
$\begin{bmatrix} A & U \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11}{2124}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{531}$	$-\frac{11}{8496}$	$-\frac{719}{67968}$
	$\frac{117761}{22264}$	$-\frac{189}{44}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1	$-\frac{130}{521}$	$\frac{183437}{186012}$	283675 747648
	$\frac{312449}{22264}$ -	$-\frac{44}{4525}$	$\frac{\frac{4}{1}}{\frac{1}{26}}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	$-\frac{650}{521}$	180912 121459 46729	$\frac{130127}{124609}$
$\begin{vmatrix} B & V \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$-\frac{23304}{58405}$	4297	$-\frac{475}{42}$	4 15	1	<u>125</u>	$\frac{40728}{510}$	$-\frac{733}{22520}$
	$-\frac{7788}{64}$	$\frac{132}{746}$	$\frac{12}{95}$	12	0	236	$\frac{649}{85}$	$20768 \\ 677$
	$-\frac{33}{33}$	$\frac{33}{4}$	$-\frac{3}{4}$	12	0	0	44	1056
	$-\frac{6}{3}$	$\frac{\pi}{3}$	3	0	0	0	0	$\frac{10}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0
$\longrightarrow c^T =$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \hline \end{array}$	1			•			
	gonally-imp	olicit						

- Stability function  $w^4 (1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3 (1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

10

Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

Cheap error estimation and neighbouring method estimation

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$	
	$\frac{11}{2124}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{531}$	$-\frac{11}{8406}$	$-\frac{719}{67068}$	
	$\frac{117761}{22264}$	$-\frac{189}{44}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1	$-\frac{130}{591}$	<u>183437</u>	<u>283675</u>	
	$\frac{23364}{312449}$ -	$-\frac{44}{4525}$	$\frac{4}{1}$	1	1	$-\frac{531}{650}$	186912 121459	$\frac{147648}{130127}$	
	23364	396	36	4	-	531	46728	124608	
	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$	
	$-\frac{64}{32}$	$\frac{746}{22}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$	
	$-\frac{8}{2}$	$\frac{33}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	0	0	0		$\frac{1050}{13}$	
	3	3	3					24	
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0	
$\longrightarrow c^T =$	$\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$	1						_	
$\rightarrow A$ diagonally-implicit									

 $\rightarrow$  Stage order 3

10

5

δ

- Stability function  $w^4 (1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3 (1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

Cheap error estimation and neighbouring method estimation

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$
	$\frac{11}{2124}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{531}$	$-\frac{11}{8496}$	$-\frac{719}{67968}$
	$\frac{117761}{23364}$	$-\frac{189}{44}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1	$-\frac{130}{531}$	$\frac{183437}{186912}$	$\frac{283675}{747648}$
$\begin{bmatrix} A & U \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{312449}{23364}$	$-\frac{4525}{396}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	$-\frac{650}{531}$	$\frac{121459}{46728}$	$\frac{130127}{124608}$
$\begin{vmatrix} B & V \end{vmatrix} =$	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0
$T_{a}$	1 1 3	1						-

10

 $\longrightarrow$  Stage order 3

5

δ

- Stability function  $w^4(1-\frac{1}{4}z)^4 w^3(1-\frac{1}{8}z^2-\frac{1}{48}z^3)$
- Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

• Cheap error estimation and neighbouring method estimation

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$
	11	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{521}$	$-\frac{11}{8406}$	$-\frac{719}{67069}$
	$\frac{2124}{117761}$	$-\frac{189}{44}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1	$-\frac{130}{591}$	$\frac{183437}{100010}$	<u>283675</u>
	$\frac{23364}{312449}$	$-\frac{44}{4525}$	4 1	1	1	$-\frac{531}{650}$	186912 121459	$\frac{747648}{130127}$
$\begin{vmatrix} n & 0 \\ p & V \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$\frac{23364}{58405}$	$\frac{396}{4297}$	$\frac{36}{475}$	4	1	$\frac{531}{125}$	$\frac{46728}{510}$	$\frac{124608}{733}$
	7788	132	$-\frac{12}{12}$	19	T	236	649	$-\frac{1}{20768}$
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0
$\longrightarrow c^T =$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}$	1						_

 $\longrightarrow A$  diagonally-implicit

10

 $\longrightarrow$  Stage order 3

δ

 $\longrightarrow$  Stability function  $w^4(1-\frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3(1-\frac{1}{8}z^2-\frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

 Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$
	$\frac{11}{0104}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{521}$	$-\frac{11}{9400}$	$-\frac{719}{67069}$
	$\frac{2124}{117761}$	$\frac{4}{189}$	1	0	1	130	$\frac{8496}{183437}$	$\frac{67968}{283675}$
Γ	23364	44	$\overline{4}$	1	1	531	186912	747648
A U =	$\frac{312449}{23364}$	$-\frac{4525}{396}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	$-\frac{030}{531}$	$\frac{121439}{46728}$	$\frac{130127}{124608}$
B V	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0
$\longrightarrow c^T =$	$\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$	1						-

 $\longrightarrow A$  diagonally-implicit

10

 $\longrightarrow$  Stage order 3

δ

 $\longrightarrow$  Stability function  $w^4(1-\frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3(1-\frac{1}{8}z^2-\frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

c.f. 
$$R(z) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3}{(1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4}$$

Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

15

20

25

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

25

30

20

$\begin{bmatrix} A & U \end{bmatrix}$	$   \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{11}{2124}} \\   \frac{117761}{23364} \\   \frac{312449}{23364} $	$\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{189}{44} \\ -\frac{4525}{396} \end{array}$	$0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{36}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ \frac{1}{4} \end{array}$	1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \frac{130}{531} \\ -\frac{130}{531} \\ -\frac{650}{531} \end{array}$	$-\frac{1}{32} \\ -\frac{11}{8496} \\ \frac{183437}{186912} \\ \frac{121459}{46728}$	$-\frac{1}{192} \\ -\frac{719}{67968} \\ \frac{283675}{747648} \\ \frac{130127}{124608} \\ \end{array}$
	$\frac{11}{2124}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{531}$	$-\frac{11}{8496}$	$-\frac{\frac{192}{719}}{67968}$
	$\frac{117761}{23364}$	$-\frac{189}{44}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	1	$-\frac{130}{531}$	$\frac{183437}{186912}$	$\frac{283675}{747648}$
A U	$\frac{312449}{23364}$	$-\frac{4525}{396}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	$-\frac{650}{531}$	$\frac{121459}{46728}$	$\frac{130127}{124608}$
$\begin{bmatrix} B & V \end{bmatrix}^{-}$	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0
$\rightarrow c^T -$	1 1 3	1						

 $\longrightarrow A$  diagonally-implicit

2

 $\longrightarrow$  Stage order 3

ò.

 $\longrightarrow$  Stability function  $w^4(1-\frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3(1-\frac{1}{8}z^2-\frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

c.f. 
$$R(z) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3}{(1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4}$$

→ Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data

Are Runge-Kutta methods useful? Are linear multistep methods useful? Are general linear methods useful?

25

30

20

	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{32}$	$-\frac{1}{192}$	
	11	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	1	$\frac{130}{521}$	$-\frac{11}{9400}$	$-\frac{719}{67069}$	
	$\frac{2124}{117761}$	$-\frac{4}{189}$	<u>1</u>	0	1	$-\frac{531}{130}$	$\frac{8496}{183437}$	$\frac{67968}{283675}$	
	$23364 \\ 312449$	$44 \\ 4525$	4	1	1	$531 \\ 650$	$186912 \\ 121459$	$747648 \\ 130127$	
$\begin{vmatrix} A & U \\ \end{vmatrix} =$	23364	396	36	$\overline{\overline{4}}$	1	$-\frac{531}{531}$	46728	124608	_
B V	$-\frac{58405}{7788}$	$\frac{4297}{132}$	$-\frac{475}{12}$	15	1	$\frac{125}{236}$	$\frac{510}{649}$	$-\frac{733}{20768}$	
	$-\frac{64}{33}$	$\frac{746}{33}$	$-\frac{95}{3}$	12	0	0	$\frac{85}{44}$	$\frac{677}{1056}$	
	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{13}{24}$	
	-32	112	-128	48	0	0	0	0	
$\longrightarrow c^T =$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}$	1							

- $\longrightarrow A$  diagonally-implicit
- $\longrightarrow$  Stage order 3

ò.

 $\longrightarrow$  Stability function  $w^4(1-\frac{1}{4}z)^4 - w^3(1-\frac{1}{8}z^2-\frac{1}{48}z^3)$ 

c.f. 
$$R(z) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{8}z^2 - \frac{1}{48}z^3}{(1 - \frac{1}{4}z)^4}$$

- $\longrightarrow$  Simple step-size change mechanism based on Nordsieck representation of data
- $\longrightarrow$  Cheap error estimation and neighbouring method estimation

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

20

25

30

#### STABILITY QUESTIONS

#### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- 3 What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- 4 Stability questions
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
  - Nonlinear stability
  - Symplectic condition

10

**5** Implementation issues

ò

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

The solution to a dynamical system can contain, at least locally, a potent mix of rapidly decaying components, oscillatory components, slowly varying components and rapidly increasing components.

We don't want a numerical process to be controlled by the rapidly decaying components, because we expect these to become negligible after a short time; therefore they will not correspond to anything of physical significance in the long run. It is a major aim of a stiff solver to look after rapidly decaying components and make sure they don't do any harm.

15

20

25

The solution to a dynamical system can contain, at least locally, a potent mix of rapidly decaying components, oscillatory components, slowly varying components and rapidly increasing components.

We don't want a numerical process to be controlled by the rapidly decaying components, because we expect these to become negligible after a short time; therefore they will not correspond to anything of physical significance in the long run. It is a major aim of a stiff solver to look after rapidly decaying

20

25

The solution to a dynamical system can contain, at least locally, a potent mix of rapidly decaying components, oscillatory components, slowly varying components and rapidly increasing components.

We don't want a numerical process to be controlled by the rapidly decaying components, because we expect these to become negligible after a short time; therefore they will not correspond to anything of physical significance in the long run. It is a major aim of a stiff solver to look after rapidly decaying components and make sure they don't do any harm.

20

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

# A-stability, $A(\alpha)$ stability and L-stability

If we pretend that the various components of a solution combine linearly, or at least close enough to linearly for all practical purposes, then linear stability analysis makes a lot of sense. We just need to look at how a single component, de-coupled from the rest of the system, behaves under numerical treatment. This means that we can consider a model linear problem

$$y' = qy,$$

where q is a complex number. If z = hq is such that the approximate solution is stable, we say that z is in the stability region.

If the stability region includes the entire left half-plane then the method is A-stable.

20

25

30

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

# A-stability, $A(\alpha)$ stability and L-stability

If we pretend that the various components of a solution combine linearly, or at least close enough to linearly for all practical purposes, then linear stability analysis makes a lot of sense. We just need to look at how a single component, de-coupled from the rest of the system, behaves under numerical treatment. This means that we can consider a model linear problem

#### y' = qy,

where q is a complex number. If z = hq is such that the approximate solution is stable, we say that z is in the stability region.

If the stability region includes the entire left half-plane then the method is A-stable.

20

25

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

## A-stability, $A(\alpha)$ stability and L-stability

If we pretend that the various components of a solution combine linearly, or at least close enough to linearly for all practical purposes, then linear stability analysis makes a lot of sense. We just need to look at how a single component, de-coupled from the rest of the system, behaves under numerical treatment. This means that we can consider a model linear problem

$$y' = qy,$$

where q is a complex number. If z = hq is such that the approximate solution is stable, we say that z is in the stability region.

If the stability region includes the entire left half-plane then the method is A-stable.

20

25

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

## A-stability, $A(\alpha)$ stability and L-stability

If we pretend that the various components of a solution combine linearly, or at least close enough to linearly for all practical purposes, then linear stability analysis makes a lot of sense. We just need to look at how a single component, de-coupled from the rest of the system, behaves under numerical treatment. This means that we can consider a model linear problem

$$y' = qy,$$

where q is a complex number. If z = hq is such that the approximate solution is stable, we say that z is in the stability region.

If the stability region includes the entire left half-plane then the method is A-stable.

20

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

# A-stability, $A(\alpha)$ stability and L-stability

If we pretend that the various components of a solution combine linearly, or at least close enough to linearly for all practical purposes, then linear stability analysis makes a lot of sense. We just need to look at how a single component, de-coupled from the rest of the system, behaves under numerical treatment. This means that we can consider a model linear problem

$$y' = qy,$$

where q is a complex number. If z = hq is such that the approximate solution is stable, we say that z is in the stability region.

If the stability region includes the entire left half-plane then the method is A-stable.

20

10

δ

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

For some problems we want more than A-stability and for some problems we could, and should, be happy with less.

15

20

25

ò

For some problems we want more than A-stability and for some problems we could, and should, be happy with less. A method is  $A(\alpha)$  stable, for a given angle  $\alpha$ , if its stability region includes a region like this



For orders greater than 2, linear multistep methods can be  $A(\alpha)$  stable for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

20

25

ò

For some problems we want more than A-stability and for some problems we could, and should, be happy with less. A method is  $A(\alpha)$  stable, for a given angle  $\alpha$ , if its stability region includes a region like this



For orders greater than 2, linear multistep methods can be  $A(\alpha)$  stable for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

As a hope of obtaining high order and accurate linear multistep methods, which are and at the same time "almost A stable", this is an illusion.

20

25

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

#### Nonlinear stability

In 1975 G. Dahlquist suggested using a test problem satisfying

$$\langle y-z, f(x,y) - f(x,z) \rangle \le 0$$

A differential equation satisfying this condition is dissipative in the sense that

 $\frac{d}{dx}\|y(x) - z(x)\| \le 0.$ 

For linear multistep methods GD gave conditions for which the sequence

$$\|y_n - z_n\|$$

15

20

25

30

followed a similar behaviour.

ò.

10

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

#### Nonlinear stability

In 1975 G. Dahlquist suggested using a test problem satisfying

$$\langle y-z, f(x,y) - f(x,z) \rangle \le 0$$

A differential equation satisfying this condition is dissipative in the sense that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\|y(x) - z(x)\| \le 0.$$

For linear multistep methods GD gave conditions for which the sequence

 $\|y_n - z_n\|$ 

20

25

30

followed a similar behaviour.

ò.

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

#### Nonlinear stability

In 1975 G. Dahlquist suggested using a test problem satisfying

$$\langle y-z, f(x,y) - f(x,z) \rangle \le 0$$

A differential equation satisfying this condition is dissipative in the sense that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\|y(x) - z(x)\| \le 0.$$

For linear multistep methods GD gave conditions for which the sequence

$$\|y_n - z_n\|$$

20

25

30

followed a similar behaviour.

ò.

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

#### Nonlinear stability

In 1975 G. Dahlquist suggested using a test problem satisfying

$$\langle y-z, f(x,y) - f(x,z) \rangle \le 0$$

A differential equation satisfying this condition is dissipative in the sense that

$$\frac{d}{dx}\|y(x) - z(x)\| \le 0.$$

For linear multistep methods GD gave conditions for which the sequence

$$\|y_n - z_n\|$$

20

25

30

followed a similar behaviour.

ò.

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

## Symplectic condition

For Runge–Kutta methods the requirement for dissipative behaviour was

•  $b_i \ge 0$  for all i and

ò.

• The matrix M is positive semidefinite where

 $M = \operatorname{diag}(b)A + A^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{T}} \operatorname{diag}(b) - bb^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{T}}$ 

20

25

30

The matrix M was later identified by J M Sanz-Serna and others as playing a central role in the study of symplectic integration. Specifically M = 0 is required for a method to be symplectic of canonical.

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

## Symplectic condition

For Runge–Kutta methods the requirement for dissipative behaviour was

•  $b_i \ge 0$  for all i and

ò.

• The matrix M is positive semidefinite where

$$M = \operatorname{diag}(b)A + A^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{diag}(b) - bb^{\mathsf{T}}$$

The matrix M was later identified by J M Sanz-Serna and others as playing a central role in the study of symplectic integration.

Specifically M = 0 is required for a method to be symplectic or canonical.

20

25

A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability Nonlinear stability Symplectic condition

## Symplectic condition

For Runge–Kutta methods the requirement for dissipative behaviour was

•  $b_i \ge 0$  for all i and

ò

• The matrix M is positive semidefinite where

$$M = \operatorname{diag}(b)A + A^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{diag}(b) - bb^{\mathsf{T}}$$

The matrix M was later identified by J M Sanz-Serna and others as playing a central role in the study of symplectic integration.

Specifically M = 0 is required for a method to be symplectic or canonical.

20

25

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

#### **IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES**

#### 1 Introduction

- 60 years of stiffness
- Questions about stiffness
- 2 What are stiff problems?
  - Learning through definitions
  - Learning through examples
- **3** What methods are useful for stiff problems
  - Are Runge–Kutta methods useful?
  - Are linear multistep methods useful?
  - Are general linear methods useful?
- **4** Stability questions
  - A-stability,  $A(\alpha)$  stability and L-stability
  - Nonlinear stability
  - Symplectic condition
- **5** Implementation issues

Ò.

• Solving the non-linear stage equations

15

20

25

30

• Adding transformations

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

30

### Solving the non-linear stage equations

For the implicit Euler method

 $y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(y_n)$ 

applied to an N dimensional problem, the basic computational unit is the solution of a non-linear system of the form

$$Y - h\gamma f(Y) =$$
something already known (\*)

20

using an iteration scheme like this:

10

ò.

 $Y^{[k]} = Y^{[k-1]} - (I - h\gamma J)^{-1}$ (something already known) (†)

For an s-stage implicit Runge-Kutta method implemented in the natural way, (\*) would be replaced by an sN dimensional system and the cost of a single iteration (†) goes up by a large factor Hence there is a special interest in methods which can be transformed to a sequence of steps like (†).

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

30

### Solving the non-linear stage equations

For the implicit Euler method

 $y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(y_n)$ 

applied to an N dimensional problem, the basic computational unit is the solution of a non-linear system of the form

$$Y - h\gamma f(Y) =$$
something already known (\*)

20

using an iteration scheme like this:

ò.

$$Y^{[k]} = Y^{[k-1]} - (I - h\gamma J)^{-1}$$
(something already known) (†)

For an s-stage implicit Runge–Kutta method implemented in the natural way, (\*) would be replaced by an sN dimensional system and the cost of a single iteration (†) goes up by a large factor Hence there is a special interest in methods which can be transformed to a sequence of steps like (†).

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

### Solving the non-linear stage equations

For the implicit Euler method

 $y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(y_n)$ 

applied to an N dimensional problem, the basic computational unit is the solution of a non-linear system of the form

$$Y - h\gamma f(Y) =$$
something already known (\*)

20

using an iteration scheme like this:

ò.

$$Y^{[k]} = Y^{[k-1]} - (I - h\gamma J)^{-1}$$
(something already known) (†)

For an s-stage implicit Runge–Kutta method implemented in the natural way, (\*) would be replaced by an sN dimensional system and the cost of a single iteration  $(\dagger)$  goes up by a large factor Hence there is a special interest in methods which can be transformed to a sequence of steps like (1).

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

### Solving the non-linear stage equations

For the implicit Euler method

 $y_n = y_{n-1} + hf(y_n)$ 

applied to an N dimensional problem, the basic computational unit is the solution of a non-linear system of the form

$$Y - h\gamma f(Y) =$$
something already known (\*)

20

using an iteration scheme like this:

ò.

$$Y^{[k]} = Y^{[k-1]} - (I - h\gamma J)^{-1}$$
(something already known) (†)

For an s-stage implicit Runge–Kutta method implemented in the natural way, (\*) would be replaced by an sN dimensional system and the cost of a single iteration  $(\dagger)$  goes up by a large factor Hence there is a special interest in methods which can be transformed to a sequence of steps like  $(\dagger)$ .

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

## Adding transformations

Assume that the Jacobian matrix is slowly varying and that it doesn't need updating very often.

As a consequence, assume that the same Jacobian matrix approximation can be used for each stage. In the case of a Runge–Kutta method, the non-linear equations are

 $Y = h(A \otimes I)F + \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0, \qquad F_i = f(Y_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ 

and a single iteration gives an equation system

10

5

ò.

 $(I - hA \otimes J)(Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{[k]}) = Y^{[k-1]} - h(A \otimes I)F^{[k-1]} - \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0$ 

There are two parts to the cost (a) Occasionally: factorise  $M := (I - hA \otimes J)$ (b) Every iteration: solve a system of the form MD = E

15

20

25

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

## Adding transformations

Assume that the Jacobian matrix is slowly varying and that it doesn't need updating very often. As a consequence, assume that the same Jacobian matrix approximation can be used for each stage.

in the case of a Runge–Rutta method, the non-linear equations are

 $Y = h(A \otimes I)F + \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0, \qquad F_i = f(Y_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ 

and a single iteration gives an equation system

10

ò.

 $(I - hA \otimes J)(Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{[k]}) = Y^{[k-1]} - h(A \otimes I)F^{[k-1]} - \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0$ 

20

25

30

There are two parts to the cost (a) Occasionally: factorise  $M := (I - hA \otimes J)$ (b) Every iteration: solve a system of the form MD = E

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

## Adding transformations

ò.

Assume that the Jacobian matrix is slowly varying and that it doesn't need updating very often.

As a consequence, assume that the same Jacobian matrix approximation can be used for each stage.

In the case of a Runge–Kutta method, the non-linear equations are

$$Y = h(A \otimes I)F + \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0, \qquad F_i = f(Y_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, s$$

and a single iteration gives an equation system

10

 $(I - hA \otimes J)(Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{[k]}) = Y^{[k-1]} - h(A \otimes I)F^{[k-1]} - 1 \otimes y_0$ 

20

25

30

There are two parts to the cost (a) Occasionally: factorise  $M := (I - hA \otimes J)$ (b) Every iteration: solve a system of the form MD = E

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

20

## Adding transformations

Assume that the Jacobian matrix is slowly varying and that it doesn't need updating very often.

As a consequence, assume that the same Jacobian matrix approximation can be used for each stage.

In the case of a Runge–Kutta method, the non-linear equations are

$$Y = h(A \otimes I)F + \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0, \qquad F_i = f(Y_i), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, s$$

and a single iteration gives an equation system

$$(I - hA \otimes J)(Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{[k]}) = Y^{[k-1]} - h(A \otimes I)F^{[k-1]} - \mathbf{1} \otimes y_0$$

There are two parts to the cost

ò.

- (a) Occasionally: factorise  $M := (I hA \otimes J)$
- (b) Every iteration: solve a system of the form MD = E

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

30

20

#### The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a): $s^3N^3$ , (b) $s^2N^2$

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

5

δ

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

and the costs becomes

(a): 
$$N^3$$
, (b)  $sN^2$ 

10

There is a small  $(s^2N)$  cost for the transformations but for large N this is of no consequence.
Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

δ

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

and the costs becomes

(a): 
$$N^3$$
, (b)  $sN^2$ 

10

There is a small  $(s^2 N)$  cost for the transformations but for large N this is of no consequence.

15

20

25

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $[I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

δ

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

20

25

30

and the costs becomes

(a):  $N^3$ , (b)  $sN^2$ 

10

There is a small  $(s^2 N)$  cost for the transformations but for large N this is of no consequence.

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

δ

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

20

25

30

and the costs becomes

(a):  $N^3$ , (b)  $sN^2$ 

There is a small  $(s^2 N)$  cost for the transformations but for

large N this is of no consequence

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

Ò.

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

20

25

30

and the costs becomes

(a): 
$$N^3$$
, (b)  $sN^2$ 

10

There is a small  $(s^2N)$  cost for the transformations but for

25

30

20

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

Ò.

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

and the costs becomes

(a): 
$$N^3$$
, (b)  $sN^2$ 

There is a small  $(s^2 N)$  cost for the transformations but for

rge N this is of no consequence

25

20

The costs of parts (a) and (b) are in general (a):  $s^3N^3$ , (b)  $s^2N^2$ 

But if A is singly implicit with  $\sigma(A) = \{\gamma\}$ , we can do better.

Let T be the similarity which transforms A to Jordan canonical form

 $T^{-1}AT = \overline{A},$ 

where  $\overline{A}$  can be assumed to be lower triangular. The equation

 $(I - hA \otimes J)D = E,$ 

transforms to

Ò.

$$(I - h\overline{A} \otimes J)T^{-1}D = T^{-1}E$$

and the costs becomes

(a): 
$$N^3$$
, (b)  $sN^2$ 

There is a small  $(s^2N)$  cost for the transformations but for large N this is of no consequence.

10

5

δ

Solving the non-linear stage equations Adding transformations

25

30

## Thank you for your attention

15